U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION

AND

ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORIES

+ + + + +

PUBLIC COMMENT MEETING
FOR THE DRAFT PROGRAMMATIC
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
FOR ALTERNATIVE ENERGY DEVELOPMENT
AND ALTERNATIVE USE OF EXISTING FACILITIES
ON THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF

WEDNESDAY
MAY 2, 2007

7:00 P.M.

+ + + + +

RESIDENCE INN & COURTYARD NORTH HARBOUR
MOUNT HOOD ROOM
1250 N. ANCHOR WAY
PORTLAND, OREGON 97217

CONTENTS

Public Comment Moderator:

Bob Moore, Argonne National Laboratories

Present at Head Table:

Maurice Hill Joan Barminski Lynette L. Vesco U.S. Department of the Interior Minerals Management Service 770 Paseo Camarillo Camarillo, California 93010 (805) 389-7810

Public Comment Speakers:

Rob Bovett Assistant County Counsel Lincoln County, Oregon

Gregory McMurray
Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1 2 (7:44 p.m.)3 MR. MOORE: The first speaker 4 is Rob Bovett from Lincoln County. 5 MR. BOVETT: Good evening. My name is Rob I'm an assistant county counsel. 6 7 lawyer with Lincoln County, Oregon, which is on the central Oregon coast. Thank you for coming to Oregon 8 9 and having these hearings. It's nice to actually talk 10 folks rather than just comment back to DC. 11 Sometimes you feel like your stuff gets lost. 12 However, I will let you know that we will be filing formal comments electronically. We love to do it 13 electronically, as well. So thank you. 14 15 First, I want to applaud your work -- your very hard work, both from the Agency and from 16 17 Argonne -- an incredible compilation, very dense, I must admit. But I focus on reading those parts 18 19 relating to generally what's going on here and those 20 relating to ocean-wide energy, since that's in our 21 focus. At some point in time, I'll read all the rest 22 But it's very dense, and a high quality of 23 work, both in its research and its content.

> I also love the website. Your website is wonderful, very easy to go through, and very easy to

24

access materials. So I found that handy, as well.

The one deficiency I noted in the EIS is not a deficiency due to your fine work. It's a deficiency in data. It's a complete lack of really good quality data that you could rely upon relating to these new technologies, simply because they are new technologies. And so I can't fault that. But we need to note that, and I think you did accurately not that in the EIS.

What we don't know is more than what we do know. The bio-data, the technical data, the mapping data is going to be critical when we start siting some of these facilities, whether it's in the OCS or in the territorial sea. We, of course, have a strong vested interest in making sure that not only environmental impacts, but associated impacts are monitored very carefully.

We have a \$100 million a year fishing industry based in Lincoln County, and we have a vested interested in seeing that not harmed. We have now reduced the scope of that fishing industry through a series of federal and state actions to the point where we view it as renewable, and it's sustainable, and we don't want to further constrict or restrict that vital industry to our economy, to our welfare, to our way of

life.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We, however, have not taken the position that these are bad things. We actually look forward to the development of these exciting new technologies, not only for the world impact and for the impact for us, but we also see economic development here, as well, if it's done in a thoughtful, careful and methodical way.

We have taken the initiative in Lincoln County of developing a number of committees to try to add some process, some thought to it. We formed what's called the FINE Committee, which is Fishermen Involved in Natural Energy, fishermen that have come together to help in some of the initial siting decisions for testing/R&D. And we have -- FINE last week approved the first three sites in the territorial sea, not in the outer continental shelf, for testing buoys from Oregon State University, which mentioned the direct drive buoys that Dr. von Jouanne's working on, as well as some of friends at Finavera, formerly Aqua Energy, will be testing off about two miles out to sea, just southwest of Yaquina Head, which is off of Agate Beach in Newport, as well as tri-axis. So we'll be testing a few buoys out Hopefully this summer we'll have legislation there.

that would enable that. It's already passed one of our chambers and is heading to the other. We also have legislation that I'll mention in a moment dealing with the FERC/MMS issue.

We have brought together partners from the industry, from fishing, from all of the affected key stakeholders. And we would encourage you to adopt into your long-range planning the model that we've started to set up. As we've found, really siting decisions, when we talk about micro-siting -- not macro-siting -- micro-siting really need to be made at a local level to ensure we don't have unnecessary environmental impacts and economic impacts on local communities.

We also bring to the table more than just -- we got players we want to protect and help, and economic development, but we have one of the best ports on the West Coast for servicing these types of apparatus. We also have a very proactive and progressive consumer-owned utility that stretches a huge swath of the central Oregon coast called Central Lincoln PD. They're very excited about this. So we don't have a player that's essentially blocking this and saying, hey, you're going to have to force us to interconnect through PURPA (ph). We've got a real

player that is a partner.

So we've got a lot of partnerships going on. I would encourage you to not forget your local partners, because they can really make this stuff happen. And build it into your rules. Build it into your EIS to where the locals can be brought in to make this stuff really happen in a thoughtful way that doesn't do unnecessary damage.

Then finally, I'll just let you know that we know there's an issue between FERC and MMS. I won't go through all the legal stuff. However, I did file a comment with FERC on Monday where -- well, I'll just keep it real short. I agree -- I disagree with FERC's analysis of their jurisdictional authority. I believe that MMS protests are well-founded in law when you look at the legislative history of the Federal Water Power Act of 1920, which became part one of the Federal Power Act.

Be that as it may, we are not taking the position that FERC needs to go away. We want FERC as a player. We want them to have jurisdiction -- cooperative jurisdiction. We would like MMS and FERC to work together to resolve these things, to make a seamless regulatory program that essentially moots this jurisdictional battle. We don't want the house

of cards to fall later because some federal court in DC says, ah, you're lacking here or there.

We have actually drafted House Joint Memorial 22 in Oregon, which passed our Joint Ocean Policy Committee unanimously last month, which passed our house yesterday, which basically asks Congress to fix this. Now, we're not holding our breath on that, so we are strongly encouraging MMS and FERC to kind of resolve it through intergovernmental agreements and memoranda of understanding, just fix it at an agency level. But continuing to we are push our congressional members to do that.

We're hoping that Oregon can be a leader. We think we already are. We think we have some of the best waves, not just for surfers, but we have some of the best waves. We have some of the best industry representatives. We're very excited about the partnerships at a local, state and federal level. So thank you.

MR. MOORE: Thank you, Rob.

Our next speaker who has registered to speak is Gregory McMurray.

MR. McMURRAY: My name is Greg McMurray, and I represent the Department of Land Conservation and Development for the State of Oregon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I want to preface -- these are what I would call informal comments that our governor's office is collating the state's policy comments, and they'll have them in on the written deadline.

I just wanted to make three points. gentleman from Lincoln County just made the first one for me, and that is, I think from the perspective, we would all like to see the Federal Minerals Regulatory Commission and the Management Service -- you know, try to get some of the best out of two good but very, very different systems. Your method of doing environmental studies, for example, the best part of getting royalties competitive bidding, and, you know, feeding the federal coffers is really good. FERC does some really So I know you're in conference and good things, too. you're going to try to come up with an MOU by September. I think the best end to that, as he said, is that it would be seamless in going from the territorial sea out into the EEZ for the applicants and for the states and the feds.

Second, the Coastal States Organization just gave testimony in Congress relating to the fact that the states want to partner with the federal agencies and the federal government to develop this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

nascent industry in an environmentally sound way. Don't need to say any more.

And third, and this is getting more personal and down to the details, it seems like in the case of the well-developed OCS oil and gas industry, the tiered EIS system is great. You've done it so many times. You do it well. You have outstanding Not trying to brownie up, honest. But in an untried industry, it doesn't fit very well, I don't And so while I agree with the gentleman from Lincoln County, it's dense, but it basically identifies the lack of knowledge.

I want to point out one specific oversight in it, and that is the section on wind power talks about the potential for electromagnetic effects from the cabling and the transmission system. Then the section on wave energy refers back to that section on the transmission. Most of the references I've read talk about the very sensitive apparatus and the sharks and rays that sense electromagnetic energy. It tends to nulfer (ph) them somewhere four to eight cycles per second. It's like if we see a light blinking, when it starts blinking really fast, we can't see it blink anymore. They don't see the energy, apparently, until it slows down in terms of AC.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 As Ι understand it, the generating 2 mechanisms on the ones that we're talking about in Oregon are in not cycles per second, but seconds per 3 4 cycle until that energy gets down its umbilicals or 5 its transmission lines to what we're calling the pods, where that energy's cleaned up and turned into a good 6 7 50/60 cycle AC. 8 the PDEIS totally leaves out 9 potential for the buoys themselves, the transmission to the pods to impact sharks, rays and anybody else. 10 But that's an example. Again, it's not lack of good 11 I don't know 12 staff work. It's lack of information. how you mold what you're used to doing in this tiered 13 14 EIS system. But my concern, I guess, is just that it 15 creates expectations out there, and those expectations can't be fulfilled. 16 I'll talk at the staff level with people 17 about little eeny weeny details. Thank you for being 18 19 You're doing a great job. 20 Thank you, Greq. MR. MOORE. 21 We have run through the list of people who 22 have signed up to speak. If there's anyone else who 23 did not register to speak and would like to, this 24 would be a good time to raise your hand and step

forward and take advantage of an opportunity with a

1	court reporter in the room and people listening. And
2	if that isn't your thing, please do send us your
3	written comments or file them on the website.
4	Is there anyone who would like to come
5	forward and speak now?
6	(No responses.)
7	MR. MOORE: Great opportunity.
8	(No responses.)
9	MR. MOORE: Thank you very much. We will
10	end the program now.
11	(The meeting adjourned at 7:57 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	